

1 THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR
2
3
4
5
6

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

11 Plaintiff,

v.

12 REMBER OSWALDO GUEVARA-
13 MENDOZA,

14 Defendant.

CASE NO. CR13-0238-JCC

ORDER

15 This matter comes before the Court on Defendant's motion for compassionate release
16 (Dkt. No. 172) and the Government's motion to seal (Dkt. No. 176). Having thoroughly
17 considered the briefing and relevant record, the Court hereby DENIES Defendant's motion and
18 GRANTS the Government's motion to seal for the reasons explained herein.

19 **I. BACKGROUND**

20 Defendant is currently serving a 72-month sentence at North Lake CI, (Dkt. Nos. 99 at 2,
21 172 at 2), following a 2018 guilty plea for one count of conspiracy to distribute cocaine and
22 MDMA. (Dkt. No. 99 at 1). Defendant filed a motion for compassionate release pursuant to 18
23 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1), asserting his medical conditions and heightened risk for COVID-19 present
24 extraordinary and compelling reasons to justify reducing his present sentence. (Dkt. No. 172 at
25 1).

1 **II. DISCUSSION**

2 **A. Legal Standard**

3 In analyzing whether a defendant is entitled to compassionate release, a court must
 4 determine whether that defendant has satisfied the following requirements: (1) the defendant has
 5 exhausted administrative remedies with the Bureau of Prisons (“BOP”)¹ and (2) “extraordinary
 6 and compelling reasons warrant such a reduction.” 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A). Defendant bears
 7 the burden of making this showing. *United States v. Holden*, 452 F. Supp. 3d 964, 969 (D. Or.
 8 2020). In addition, the Court may consider whether the reduction sought is consistent with
 9 applicable policy statements issued by the Sentencing Commission. See *United States v. Aruda*,
 10 993 F.3d 797, 802 (9th Cir. 2021) (while the current version of the U.S. Sentencing Guidelines
 11 Manual §1B1.13 is not applicable to motions for compassionate release presented to a district court
 12 under the First Step Act of 2018, it may inform the Court’s exercise of discretion.).

13 **B. Extraordinary and Compelling Reasons**

14 Medical conditions may present extraordinary and compelling reasons if an inmate
 15 “suffer[s] from a serious physical or medical condition . . . that substantially diminishes the
 16 [defendant’s] ability . . . to provide self-care within the environment of a correctional facility and
 17 from which he or she is not expected to recover.” 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A); U.S.S.G. § 1B1.13
 18 cmt. n.1(A). The CDC has identified several health conditions that increase risk of serious illness
 19 from COVID-19, including obesity (Body Mass Index (BMI) of 30 or more), as well as a
 20 separate list of conditions which “might” increase this risk, including hypertension. *United States*
 21 *v. Johnson*, 2020 WL 4495981 slip op. at 3 (W.D. Wash. 2020).

22 Here, Defendant asserts that he suffers from being overweight, nonalcoholic liver disease,
 23 and hypertension. (Dkt. No. 172 at 3-4). As the CDC only finds those with a body mass index
 24 (“BMI”) of 30 or higher to be at increased risk of severe illness, Defendant’s 28.3 BMI, (*id.*),

25
 26 ¹ The Government concedes that Defendant has met the statutory exhaustion requirement under
 § 3582(c)(1)(A). (Dkt. No. 175 at 6.)

1 does not qualify. *See United States v. Cain*, 2020 WL 4597307 slip op. at 4 (W.D. Wash. 2020)
 2 (finding BMI of 27.9 insufficient basis for motion). While hypertension is identified by the CDC
 3 as potentially increasing the risk of serious illness, Defendant's hypertension is being managed
 4 with medication (lisinopril). (Dkt. No. 175 at 4); *see United States v. Powers*, 2020 WL
 5 3605748, slip op. at 3 (W.D. Wash. 2020) (finding sentence reduction unwarranted where
 6 movant's hypertension was well-controlled with prescribed medication).

7 Moreover, Defendant was previously diagnosed with COVID-19 in April 2020 and has since
 8 been vaccinated against COVID-19 and received a booster in February 2022. (Dkt. No. 175 at 4, 8.)
 9 As Defendant recovered from his COVID-19 infection without complications, his personal
 10 experience suggests that his medical conditions do not elevate his risk of serious illness to an extent
 11 constituting an extraordinary and compelling reason warranting release. *See United States v.*
 12 *Reynolds*, 2020 WL 3266532, slip op. at 4 (W.D. Wash. 2020) (finding no extraordinary or
 13 compelling reasons where movant did not suffer from any reported lingering COVID-19 symptoms).

14 Accordingly, Defendant has failed to meet his burden of establishing that extraordinary
 15 and compelling reasons warrant release. Therefore, the Court need not consider whether
 16 Defendant meets the remaining requirements for release.

17 C. **Government's Motion to Seal**

18 The Government additionally requests the Court seal Exhibit 1 to its opposition to
 19 Defendant's motion. (Dkt. No. 176) The First Amendment protects the public's right of access to
 20 criminal trials. *See, e.g., Globe Newspaper Co. v. Super. Ct. for Norfolk Cnty.*, 457 U.S. 596, 606
 21 (1982). The public also has a common law right to inspect and copy public records, including from
 22 judicial proceedings. *See Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns*, 435 U.S. 589, 597 (1978). But these rights are
 23 not absolute and must yield when (1) sealing a document serves a compelling interest, (2) that is
 24 substantially likely to be harmed if the document is not sealed, and (3) there are no less restrictive
 25 alternatives for protecting the interest. *See United States v. Doe*, 870 F.3d 991, 998 (9th Cir. 2017).

26 Given the sensitive nature of the information contained in the exhibit, the Court finds that

1 sealing it serves a compelling interest which is likely to be harmed if the document is not sealed,
2 and there is no less restrictive alternative to protect that interest. Accordingly, there is good cause
3 for the document to remain under seal.

4 **III. CONCLUSION**

5 For the foregoing reasons, the Court DENIES Defendant's motion for compassionate
6 release (Dkt. No. 172) and GRANTS the Government's motion to seal (Dkt. No. 176). The Clerk
7 is DIRECTED to maintain Docket Number 177 under seal.

8 DATED this 23rd day of June 2022.

9
10 
11
12 John C. Coughenour
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26